Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Thomas-Lipinski-Fletcher amendment that will be offered later in the debate. I believe that any patient protection legislation must also address the needs of the uninsured. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that for every 1 percent increase in health insurance premiums, 200,000 to 300,000 individuals will lose their health insurance.

The underlying Ganske-Dingell bill is estimated to increase health insurance premiums by 4 percent. That is 800,000 to 1.2 million more Americans that will be added to the estimated 42.6 million Americans that are without health insurance. We must include provisions that will make health insurance more accessible and affordable to individuals.

I have long been a proponent of medical savings accounts. Individuals should be able to have access to quality health care and make their own provider choices. MSAs allow individuals to save, tax free, for their health care needs and shop around for the best quality care at the best prices.

The amendment makes structural changes to MSAs that will improve their effectiveness and make them more widely available. MSAs are making health insurance affordable for the first time to many Americans since MSA insurance policies usually cost about half of what the average HMO policy costs.

According to the Internal Revenue Service, 31.5 percent of all of those who established an MSA were previously uninsured. MSAs help bring these uninsured Americans into the insurance pool as opposed to being exposed to the prisks of uninsured health care costs which are the source of nearly half of all bankruptcies in the entire United States.

In contrast, the underlying Ganske-Dingell bill makes only cosmetic changes to MSAs. The underlying bill only provides for a 2-year extension, raises the cap on MSAs from 750,000 to 1 million, and expands the definition of small businesses from 50 employees to 100 employees.

I urge my colleagues to support the Thomas-Lipinski-Fletcher amendment.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Solis), who joins with the American Medical Association in opposition to the Norwood amendment.

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for the opportunity to shed some light on what I believe my constituents in California are deeply concerned about.

Two years ago we passed some major, major HMO reform legislation. This new proposal that is before us will rip apart those very pieces of legislation that were put together very carefully over the past 2 and 3 years through negotiation with the stakeholders, with insurance, with doctors, with patients, with advocates. This legislation now would go back to the heart of our State

and take away those assurances that many people in that State right now have protections for.

I cannot stand here today as a new Member of Congress and vote for a piece of legislation that is so deadly, because if someone becomes ill under this proposal after 6 years because someone has injected them with tainted blood, they cannot go back and sue that particular health care or insurance group that is providing coverage. That is disastrous. I know that people in my State and this country do not want to stand for that.

As one of the new Members of Congress, I ask my colleagues to vote against the Norwood amendment, the proposal that Mr. Bush is putting before us today and our colleagues from the right.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller), ranking member of the full committee.

(Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding time.

Something very terrible happened last night. Up until last night, we had a competing contest over the question of protection of patients' rights when they engage their HMOs, when they were denied service and in that effort they were harmed, they were injured or they died and whether or not somebody would have to accept responsibility for that

Then last night at the White House, negotiations took place and we went from a patients' protection bill to an insurance company protection bill. We changed the standard of care within an HMO from that of what a doctor, a medical professional, owes you to now a standard of care that an insurance claims processor owes you. A doctor can make a horrible mistake, an HMO can make a horrible mistake, an HMO can make a callous indecision about your care and their standard is that of an insurance claims processor. When people pay their insurance premiums, when people go to an HMO, when they engage their medical expertise, they do not believe they are engaging an insurance processor. But the insurance companies, the HMOs, have rigged this bill and rigged this language so that is now the standard of care.

Next time you go to visit your HMO, tell them you only want to pay them what you would pay an insurance claims processor because that is the standard of care. This bill and the Norwood amendment shows such insensitivity to families that have to try and negotiate, negotiate to get care, to get satisfaction, to get treatment for their family members. Maybe too many Members of Congress have not done this. I know what it looks like up close and personal when you are trying to negotiate with these people and you are denied care and you are delayed care.

This amendment is like some medical Bull Connor that is going to keep families from having access to care, from access to justice. It is unbelievable. It is unbelievable that we would do this to America's families at the end of this debate and we would so enhance the insurance companies to damage families and damage the people we love.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. FORD), who joins with the health care providers and families of America.

Mr. FORD. Mr. Chairman, what happened last night, if the President is watching or the White House is watching, y'all did one heck of a job on my friend, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Norwood), who has been a champion, a stalwart on behalf of patients and consumers across this Nation, not just in Georgia. For those of you who thought what might have happened in Florida was good, what happened last night was that much better.

Everyone will recite some of the legal things and the legal changes in this bill, but the truth still stands. The only bill on this floor that will be considered today that provides clear and enforceable rights for patients, clear lines of accountability for decisions made by either employers or insurance companies is the Ganske-Dingell-Berry legislation.

I have great respect for the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD) and will continue to hold him in high regard. I have great respect for the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. Fletcher). But for those of you interested in providing clear patients' rights, enforceable patients' holding those accountable, rights. those who make medical decisions, you have one clear choice, the American Medical Association's choice, Republican Members in the Senate including Mr. McCain, and those of us on our side: the Ganske-Dingell-Berry bill.

Vote for patients, not the insurance companies.

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD).

Mr. NORWOOD. Mr. Chairman, I am always stimulated to respond when my friend, the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller), stands up and does always such a good job, but maybe a little clarification would be in order.

I think all of you know that the good work in the bill that has been done by all of us solves a lot of problems because just of the external review. You get most things corrected there, which has always been our intent. But to say that a patient that has been denied care and is then harmed has no recourse through our amendment is just not true. If they are denied care through our amendment, they have a cause of action and they have a cause of action, most of them, in the States, which is where we want to be, they